

District of Columbia

By Kelly M. Lippincott and Sarah W. Conkright

What insurer practices are addressed by statute, regulation and/or insurance department advisory?

Misrepresentation of facts or policy provisions.

D.C. Code §31-2231.17(a)(1) and (b)(1).

Refusal to pay claims without conducting a reasonable investigation.

D.C. Code §31-2231.17(b)(4).

Compelling claimants to initiate litigation to recover amounts due by offering substantially less than amounts ultimately recovered.

D.C. Code §31-2231.17(b)(7).

Attempting to settle claims for less than an amount to which a reasonable person would believe he or she was entitled after referring to written or printed advertising material or literature made part of an application.

D.C. Code §31-2231.17(b)(8).

Attempting to settle claims on the basis of an application altered without notice to, or knowledge or consent of, the applicant.

D.C. Code §31-2231.17(a)(3) and (b)(9).

Failure, after paying claim, to inform insureds or beneficiaries of the coverage under which payment was made.

D.C. Code §31-2231.17(a)(4) and (b)(10).

Asserting to insureds or claimants a policy of appealing from arbitration awards in favor of insureds or claimants for the purpose of compelling them to accept settlements or

compromises less than the amount awarded in arbitration.

D.C. Code §31-2231.17(b)(11).

Delaying investigation or payment of claims by requiring a claimant or his or her physician to submit a preliminary claim report and then requiring subsequent submissions which contain substantially the same information.

No such provision. (*But see* D.C. Code §31-2231.17(b)(12) (unreasonably delay by requiring both a formal proof of loss form and subsequent verification that would result in duplication of information and verification appearing in the formal proof of loss form).

Failure to promptly settle claims under one coverage of a policy where liability is reasonably clear in order to influence settlements under other coverages of the policy.

D.C. Code §31-2231.17(a)(5).

Failure to promptly provide a reasonable and proper explanation of the basis for a denial of claim.

D.C. Code §31-2231.17(a)(6) and (b)(13).

Concealment of benefits, coverages or other provisions that are pertinent to the claim

No such provision.

Issuance of checks or drafts in partial settlement of a loss or claim under a specific policy coverage that contains language releasing the insurer or its insured from its total liability.

No such provision.

Failure to maintain complete claims files, in sufficient detail that pertinent events and dates may be reconstructed.

No such provision. (*But see* D.C. Code §31-2231.16 (requiring insurer to provide claim history for the three previous policy years no later than 20 days after requested by the named insured)).

Does this state have any other pertinent law regarding insurer practices?

D.C. Code §31-2231.17(a)(2) (refusal to pay a claim for a reason that is arbitrary or capricious based on all available information).

What timing issues are addressed by statute, regulation and/or insurance department advisory?

Failure to acknowledge and act promptly on claims communications.

D.C. Code §31-2231.17(b)(2).

Failure to adopt and implement reasonable standards for prompt investigation of claims.

D.C. Code §31-2231.17(b)(3).

Failure to affirm or deny coverage within a reasonable time after proof of loss.

D.C. Code §31-2231.17(b)(5).

Not attempting, in good faith, to promptly and equitably settle claims in which liability has become reasonably clear.

D.C. Code §31-2231.17(b)(6).

Continuing negotiations with a claimant who is not an attorney, nor represented by an attorney, up to the time the claimant's right may be affected by a statute of limitations, insurance policy or contract time limit, without giving the claimant written notice that the time limit may expire and affect his or her rights. How much prior notice, before the time limit expires, must be given to claimants? Is the notice requirement limited to first-party claimants?

No such provision.

Making statements indicating that the rights of a claimant may be impaired if a form or release is not completed within a given period of time unless the statement is given for the purpose of notifying the claimant of a relevant statute of limitations. Is this provision limited to third-party claimants?

No such provision.

Requiring a claimant to give written notice of loss or proof of loss within a specified time unless the time limit is specified in the policy.

No such provision.

Failure to provide an adequate response, e.g., within 15 working days of the receipt of an inquiry from the insurance commissioner or an appropriate reply to all other pertinent communications about a claim from a claimant that reasonably indicate a response is expected.

No such provision.

Does this state have any other pertinent law regarding timing issues?

No such provision.

Are there other statutes, regulations and/or insurance department advisories that address specific types of claims?

Failure to settle claims on the grounds that responsibility for payment should be assumed by others, except as otherwise provided by the policy. Is this provision limited to first-party claims?

No such provision.

Failure to disclose to a claimant all relevant benefits, coverages and other provisions under which the claim is asserted. Is this provision limited to first-party claims?

No such provision.

Denial of a claim on the grounds of the claimant's failure to exhibit the relevant property without proof of the insurer's demand and the claimant's unfounded refusal.

No such provision.

Requiring a claimant to sign a release that extends beyond the subject matter that gave rise to the claim payment. Is this provision limited to first-party claims?

No such provision.

Does this state have any other pertinent law regarding specific types of claims?

No such provision.

Are there other statutes, regulations and/or insurance department advisories pertinent to unfair claims settlement practices?

No such provision.

Practice tips for this state

In the District of Columbia, unfair claims settlement practices are governed by statute; therefore, it is advisable to adhere to all statutory and regulatory provisions to ensure compliance.

District of Columbia Department of Insurance, Securities, and Banking: <http://disb.dc.gov/>

AUTHORS

Kelly M. Lippincott is a member of Carr Maloney P.C. in Washington, DC She focuses her practice on insurance coverage and products liability. She practices in Maryland, Virginia, and the District of Columbia. Kelly defends insurers in coverage disputes in federal and state courts. Kelly is a member of the DRI Insurance Law Committee, serves as the Editor of the DRI Insurance Law Committee's dedicated issue of *For The Defense*, and served as Regional Editor of DRI's *Duty to Defend Compendium*, to which she is also a contributing author.

Carr Maloney PC | 202.310.5500 | kml@carrmaloney.com

Sarah W. Conkright is an associate at Carr Maloney P.C. in Washington, D.C. She focuses her practice on professional malpractice, products liability, insurance coverage, and complex civil litigation matters. She practices in the state and federal courts of Virginia and the District of Columbia, and in Maryland federal court.

Carr Maloney PC | 202.310.5500 | swc@carrmaloney.com